S.B.CR. MISC. BAIL APPLICATION NO.4556/2007

(Chenaram VS. State of Rajasthan)

Date of Order :: September 26, 2007

HON'BLE MR. H.R.PANWAR,J.

Mr.G.L.Khatri, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Upadhyaya, P.P. for the State.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the Public Prosecutor for the State. Perused the order impugned and the police investigation diary.

It is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the injuries are not of serious nature.

On perusal of the investigation diary and the statement of injured Madan Lal, it appears that petitioner armed with axe inflicted injury on the forehead of the injured and thus, the injury on the vital part of the injured has been assigned to the petitioner, which corroborates from the injury report. It appears that injured suffered as many as 5 injuries and other injured persons namely Rekha, Raju and Bhanwari Devi suffered two, two and three injuries respectively. In the circumstances, therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail

to the petitioner.

The bail application filed by the petitioner under section 438 Cr.P.C. is, therefore, dismissed.

[H.R.PANWAR],J.

m.asif/-